* * * * *

362

COMMISSION MEETING

FRIDAY

OCTOBER 11, 2002

JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

The Commission convened at 9:30 a.m., in the Diplomat Room, Hilton Hotel, 1001 East County Line Road, Jackson, Mississippi, Chairperson Mary Frances Berry, presiding.

Present:

MARY FRANCES BERRY, CHAIRPERSON CRUZ REYNOSO, VICE CHAIRPERSON ELSIE M. MEEKS, COMMISSIONER

LESLIE R. JIN, STAFF DIRECTOR

Staff Present:

KIMBERLEY ALTON
DEBRA CARR, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL
JOANN DANIELS
IVY DAVIS
PAMELA DUNSTON
SHELDON FULLER
MELVIN JENKINS
FARELLA ROBINSON
CORRINE SANDERS
ALEX SUN
AUDREY WRIGHT

Commissioner Assistants Present:

LAURA BATIE PATRICK DUFFY JOY FREEMAN

CCR 3 Meet. 352

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

A-G-E-N-D-A

Approval of Agenda	3
Approval of Minutes	3
Announcements	3
Staff Director's Report	. 11
Presentation from Central Regional SACs Dr. Annie Wells	. 30 . 34 . 45
Questions and Answer Session	. 59
Mr Carlton Reeves Fsg	103

Ve oth

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:35 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This meeting will come to order.

Today, during this meeting of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, we will not take any official votes because we do not have at present a quorum. Mr. Edley is ill today.

Well, we will proceed with the agenda of the meeting and have the discussion before we go on to the SAC presentations.

The first item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda. And I would just ask if anyone here has anything that they would like to add to the items that are listed? Hearing, none.

Then we will go on to the minutes of the July 19 meeting and the September 13, 2002 discussion that we had in Wilmington. Did anybody see anything that needed to be changed or added in those? Hearing none.

Then the next item is the announcements, and there are a series of announcements to make.

First of all, we are obviously pleased to be here in Jackson, Mississippi. We had a great time yesterday at the SAC, State Advisory Committee,

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

meeting and forum on the issue of whether there should be a state human rights agency in Mississippi.

This is an issue that we have visited on several occasions, and when we were here before, Melvin Jenkins and I went over to see then Governor Fordice about this issue of a human rights agency in the state. And still there isn't one.

And yesterday and Wednesday the State Advisory Committee put together this wonderful forum to make a very comprehensive record of participants. Very impressive people from the Governor and the advocacy groups and the like to talk about the need for a human rights agency.

Also, the Vice Chair and I went over and met with the Governor, the present Governor, to reenforce the State Advisory Committee's concerns and the concerns of the people in this state that there be some type of human rights agency. So we have high hopes that perhaps this time as a result of the hard work of the SAC, this may happen.

The other thing that I wanted to announce is that next month's Commission's meeting, the meeting of this Commission, will be held in conjunction with our State Advisory Committee in California. So we are going to San Diego, California on November the 15th

for our meeting, and will be there on Thursday for a 1 2 3 4 5 6 Diego. 8 9 continuing resolution? 10 11 Chair. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes. 12 unusual, is it? 13 14 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 continuing resolutions But

forum on issues having to do with people who are crossing the borders who are from Mexico and who have died in the desert coming across the border there. This is a major issue out there, Immigration in San The other thing is that the Commission, of course, Mr. Staff Director, you're operating under a STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: That's correct, madam And that's not No. it's not. It's become more common than it is unusual at this point. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. It used to be the first time I remember years ago when we had a continuing resolution and no budget, everybody was all concerned and upset and people spent days trying to figure out what to do under a continuing resolution. Did it mean the agencies were closed or But then, you know, that dissipated because it became routine. And then in the Clinton years we had that government close-down thing, which was unusual. happen, of course, when Congress doesn't appropriate for the agencies. And it hasn't appropriated for any of the departments and agencies that I recall. Isn't that correct?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: No appropriations bill. So we just continue to operate as we have. And we think there'll be another one, another continuing resolution before they go home, I'm sure, before that happens.

The other thing is that this month from September 15th to October 15th, is Hispanic Heritage Month. And we issued a press release concerning this, and the Commission is going to have a guest speaker Charles Kamasaki, the Senior Vice President for LaRaza speaking at the Commission on the issue of Hispanics in the United States.

According to the Census, which is probably inaccurate, there are 35.3 million Hispanic Americans in the United States and something like 12.5 percent of the population, which does not include those in Puerto Rico. And more than one in ten U.S. residents say that they speak Spanish at home in addition to speaking it elsewhere.

The Commission also acknowledges that

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

during the month of October we have disability awareness month this month. More than 54 million Americans are accounted for and counted as having disabilities of some kind. And, in fact, I would maintain that probably all of us are TABs, because we're all temporarily able bodied and grateful for it.

And since the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act, ADA, we've made great progress in this country on access issues. But we know in this Commission that there are significant challenges and barriers whether it's in education or transportation, or housing, jobs as a major issue in this country.

So on Thursday, October 17th there will be a program at the Commission on disability awareness with Deputy Chief of the Disability Rights Section in the Department of Justice speaking.

The other thing is to point out that there has been an agreement in the Congress on national election reform. This Commission has worked very hard on the issue of election reform beginning with our hearings in Florida after the 2000 election.

We testified in the Senate in Senator Christopher Dodds' committee on the election reform bill and supplied a great deal of information to them. And the staff has been working with people on the

Hill, especially on the Senate side, as we go forward with this.

So we're pleased that there has been an agreement and that the Commission played a role in trying to make this come to pass. And the Florida primary that just took place recently, which we had predicated after being down there in June would be a disaster, turned out to be a disaster. And we're not happy about that, but it did, which gave more impetus to passing a bill.

A bill will pass, we're told, and it has lots of good provisions in it, but it has some in it that we don't much like and we're still hopeful that they will be taken out. Some of the identification procedures are put in such a way that they're not flexible and they will discourage minority voters.

And also the anti-fraud provisions sound good, the label sounds good, because nobody's in favor of fraud. But the provisions are written in such a way that people could be ensnared in the provisions for trying to help others to figure out how to vote and other things. And this would mean that legal defense funds like MALDEF would be out there trying to defend people from fraud charges unnecessarily brought.

So there needs to be some tightening and

some changes in these provisions, which I hope will happen before the bill is passed. But, by in large, there needs to be election reform and there are a lot of things in this bill that are very good.

Before we came down here, we know that in Mississippi this is the season when James Meredith first became the first Afro-American student to enroll at Old Miss. And whenever people tell me that change has not occurred in the United States in the area of civil rights or because of the civil rights movement, anybody who looks around.

Mississippi is not the same as Mississippi was before the civil rights movement, and the country is not. I mean, Mississippi is not what it should be, I think, as the country's not what it should be but it certainly is not what it was before then.

And all the blood and sacrifice that people put forth in order to get the changes; while we work to make even greater changes, we have to acknowledge that and acknowledge what they did. Because that is a very important part of the history of this country, not just of black people but of everybody in the United States.

The only other one that I will mention, there are lots of civil rights landmarks that we could

d

talk about, I'll mention two of them.

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One is that October 12, 1998 was when Matthew Wayne Sheppard, a 21 year old University of Wyoming student was brutally beaten and tied to a fence in Wyoming and killed because he was gay. And his death which led to protests about this unfairness and about hate crimes, led to the effort to try to get a hate crimes law passed in the Senate in the United States, in the House and the Senate.

It hasn't happened yet. There's been a lot of opposition to it from people who don't see the difference between a crime that is done to a person because of their status which they can't do anything about as opposed to a crime that is done for other reasons and then seek relief for punishment.

But this is the season to acknowledge that among all the horrific things that have happened, and this was one, and we still have a long way to go in trying to get protection rights for people who are discriminated against because of sexual orientation.

And finally, it was on October 5, 1877, more after forever declaring Ι will fight no that Chief Joseph 1500 miles, about retreating surrendered to the United States forces in Montana.

This whole episode including what was

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

called the Beef Treaty, is just another example of that part of our history which has to do with the way we have treated Native Americans and Indians, which is 3 something else that this country has been trying to remedy and respond to that history for so long. 5 And so I just wanted to point those things 6 out and the things that we should acknowledge as part 7 8 of our history. Is there anybody else who would like to 9 announce anything or anything else you can think of? 10 Okay. All right. 11 Then we have the Staff Director's report. 12 13 Staff Director, do you have anything you want to say or are there any questions on the Staff Director's 14 15 report from anybody? 16 I just wanted to ask you how close the staff is winding up the crossing borders report? 17 STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: The staff is getting 18 19 very close, Madam Chair. I think that very shortly 20 that should be finished. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. 21 22 Does anybody else have anything else on 23 the Staff Director's Report? Well, the only thing 24 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: 25 I would add is under the South Dakota Staff Director's

report, because we held that forum in South Dakota in '99, I believe it was, and one -- it's the administration of justice for native Americans in South Dakota.

And one of the recommendations was that because the federal sentencing guidelines effected Native Americans so greatly because most reservations are under federal jurisdiction that out of the recommendations was the Federal Sentencing Commission did in fact form an advisory council to look at those issues and how they effect Native Americans.

And that report is being done now. We've been meeting and I think there's some really interesting things that are showing up in that report that does, in fact, show that it's very adversely effecting Native Americans.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And when will that report be?

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: It will be out in May -- March of 2003.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: And, Madam Chair, could we have an update if the staff knows what's happening with the debate on homeland security, and particularly our suggestion that there be a special provision, an office within that, to protect the civil

d

rights of Americans. What's happening in that regard, 1 2 do you know? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, does anybody know 3 what's happening in the homeland security debate. 4 Have they decided not to put a civil rights office in 5 d it or --STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Madam Chair, I think is still ongoing discussion, debate. There 8 9 hasn't been a decision to do anything specific or to not do anything specific. It appears that at this 10 time the main discussion is in the Senate bill, the 11 proposal, is a deputy inspector general. 12 And I think that's the focus at this 13 the 14 point. But, frankly, I think that most discussion in terms of the Homeland Security Bill 15 where there's controversy, it has to do with the issue 16 17 of civil service protections and in the homeland 18 security. So, I can't honestly say that it seems 19 20 like the debate on civil rights is taking as much of a precedence and getting as much attention as I think we 21 think it deserves and should have. 22 So it's not clear CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 23 whether there will be a civil rights officer in the 24 25 department. All of the federal agencies, as we've

pointed out in our recommendation to the Congress, that have programs where it would be appropriate to have a civil rights office, have civil rights offices so that people could have complaints.

And all the employees that work in all of the federal agencies have civil rights offices in their agencies where they can file complaints. So it doesn't make sense to create this huge department and put all of those employees together and not to have any kind of EEO or civil rights or any kind of office both for people outside who want to complain and the people who are inside. It just seemed to us like it was a no-brainer. But this thing has lost traction.

I think I read in somebody's newspaper this morning that the bill probably isn't going to pass before the break because some Republicans refuse to agree to anything unless the union, the provisions about union protection are taken out. So you're right that the debate isn't so much about the civil rights aspect. It's sort of gotten, in away it's below the radar screen or it's down a little bit further below.

We see it and there's been some press about it.

So we'll just have to see, but we'll just have to keep working to try to get a civil rights office there or some kind of function. And if it

d

doesn't happen, then we'll have to see if it can be 1 added once the bill is passed, if it is passed. 2 3 Okay. I wanted to say about the South Dakota sentencing commission and about the hearing we 4 had in South Dakota on the issues of administration of 5 justice. I was at a meeting of one of the civil 8 rights organizations the other night, a big meeting of their big donors and they invited me to speak to them 9 10 to urge them, I guess, to give more money to the civil rights organization, I quess. I didn't ask them that, 11 but I guess they could have figured it out. 12 And in the Q&A, one of the guys got up and 13 he was from Nebraska. And he knew all about the 14 hearing that we had there and talked about what a 15 wonderful thing he had thought it was, and how ashamed 16 he was of that town in Nebraska right on the border, 17 18 the name of which I've forgotten. 19 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: I think White Clay. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What's the name of it? 20 21 COMMISSIONER MEEKS: White Clay. 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: White Clay where they 23 had all these liquor stores and establishments selling And he wanted to know what had happened as a 24 25 And I told him about the study that was result.

supposed to be done and about the Sentencing Commission. And he said he was going to follow up on it. So it's still on people's minds even in Nebraska.

Okay. Anybody else have anything else for the Staff Director's Report?

Now we have the budget estimate to OMB, which we're just reserving here until such time as we need to vote on it. We've already discussed it, so it's there.

And then the State Advisory Committee Appoints for Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, New York, Oklahoma, Texas and Tennessee and the State Advisory Committee report from West Virginia. And I think we had agreed last time that until such time as the Commission actually votes on these, the persons would be able to function and the advisory committees would be able to operate as appointee designate under the authority of the Staff Director to do that. And that these reports can be put on the website or issued so acknowledged that they have been long as it's submitted to the Commission.

So, we would follow that same practice with these, is that what you would do, Staff Director?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: In fact, the West Virginia report, which I think is a very good one, has

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

been up since the first time when we submitted it to the Commission last month.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right.

Good. Great.

And I did point out that for the first time in the history of the Commission that I'm aware a SAC chair is a former Commissioner Francis Guess, who was a Commissioner with the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights in the 1980s, and who was a member of the Human Rights Commission in Tennessee, and who was Secretary of Labor in Tennessee, and has held a variety of appointments there, and is now an Executive Vice President of one of the largest private companies in Tennessee, has agreed to be the chair of the Tennessee SAC.

That's really unprecedented and that he wanted to take this up and was willing to make that SAC as energetic as it can be and to get out there; I just think it's fantastic.

The question that SAC chairs always ask about their interest in civil rights, please explain your interest in civil rights and what you've done. I think he could answer that just by saying he was on the Commission for all that time, otherwise he wouldn't have been.

So I just think that's a new departure and it's very interesting to have that happen, and I'm pleased that it is happening. Okay.

If there's nothing else, we will go on to the presentation from the SAC members.

The first one we're going to have -- oh, I know, there's one other thing I want to do before we do that. I want to point out that Melvin Jenkins, who is a regional director in this region, has decided after some 30 years of being on the barricades to retire.

Now, since I've been on this Commission for almost that long, and I haven't retired yet, I take umbrage at that, Melvin.

But, Melvin, I just want to say to you before you retire how wonderful we think you've been as a devoted servant to the cause of civil rights here in the Federal Government. And you have established a magnificent record in all your time here in the cause of combating discrimination and inequity in America. And I just want to read a little bit about your background.

Following the completion of your legal training and clerkship for the Department of Housing and Urban Development you came to the Commission in

d

1973 as an attorney advisor. You've occupied vital offices in the Commission. You were called to take up being acting staff director when there was a hiatus and you were very responsible and committed and effective in that office.

You've been executive assistant to the staff director. Before that you were supervisory equal opportunity specialist, and you've been a civil rights analyst. You've been Director of the State Advisory Committee for the Commission.

You have seen the Commission's resources wax and wain, and you have seen how politics and ideology and different agendas that different administrations have had effected the work of the Commission and how the Commission has moved on anyway despite that, and with people like you in the vanguard of the leadership.

Your hard work, your determination, your intellect, your wisdom and judgment have been resources that the Commission has utilized and drawn to the depths. And we will miss you, miss knowing that you're there. We will sorely miss you, all Commission staff, all of us who have worked with you for years. And we applaud your dedication to struggle to gain civil rights for all Americans.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know I speak for the Commission And I 2 staff wishing you happiness, enjoyment 3 prosperity in all of your future endeavors. So let us 4 thank you. 5 VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Madam Chair, let the 6 record show that there was spontaneous applause. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Melvin, you want to 8 say something? Just a few words. 9 JENKINS: Madam MR. Chair. 10 11 As I embark upon a new career, my second career, I will be in private practice to not only take 12 a look at civil rights matters, what's been dear to my 13 heart for almost 30 years, but to add a voice to those 14 15 persons who have been under-represented. Yesterday heard from quite 16 we persons talking in terms of the lack of information 17 concerning civil rights in this country. Although 18 we've attempted through the various advisory committee 19 meetings to provide information, there's still a lot 20 of work to be done in terms of simply educating 21 persons about their civil rights. 22 This past May when I spoke to the Staff 23 Director about this, I did a series of seminars for 24 the Wichita Kansas Urban League throughout western 25

Kansas providing information on fair housing. Now, I did about 6 or 7 of those seminars and people just coming not only wanted to talk about fair housing, but employment discrimination, public accommodation; all that type of information.

when I enter into private practice, my heart and my commitment will still be in providing general information to the persons so that they will know their rights, and add that voice to them and to represent those persons who are not able to retain an attorney. That's what a good government pension will do me, and that's my commitment to keep working to provide that voice to those persons who have been under-represented.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you.

Okay. Now we will have presentations from the State Advisory Committees. And first we're going to have a panel. Do have any from Mississippi?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: I think we've surprised you, Madam Chair. We've decided to switch the order and give the Chair from Mississippi a little more time to get here. Of course, as you know, she's in the State Senate and they've got some important

1

2

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

business in the Senate.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So we're doing the panel first?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. All right. Then we will have the State Advisory Committee chairs to come up and I will introduce them. This is the Central Regional State Advisory Committee members from Louisiana Nebraska Arkansas, and Alabama, and If they would come forward. Oklahoma.

Dr. Annie Wells.

I'll introduce all of you and then we'll go forward.

Dr. Annie Wells is a professor of psychology at Alabama A&M University. She received her Ph.D. in experimental psychology from the University of Montana, where she almost froze to death while she was out there.

Dr. Wells is the Executive Director and founder of the Lionel Hampton Space Camp Academy Underprivileged Scholarship Foundation for and Deserving Students. She is a member of the American American Board Psychological Association, the Illness Mental Medical Psychotherapists, and the Advisory Council for the State of Alabama.

1	From Arkansas Dr. Katherine Mitchell. I
2	knew that I didn't say Dr. Katherine Mitchell.
3	Welcome.
4	Dr. Katherine Mitchell is Director of
5	Programs for Watershed, Inc., an agency that works
6	with economically deprived communities in rural areas.
7	She is active on a number of boards such as the Little
8	Rock School Board of Directors, the Professional
9	Counseling Association and is President of the Little
10	Rock Pan-Hellenic Council.
11	From Louisiana, Roberta M. Madden. But
12	you know Bill Coleman, the famous civil rights lawyer,
13	his daughter he named his daughter with a male name
14	for some reason that nobody understands. But, anyway.
15	Ms. Roberta Madden is program coordinator for racial
16	justice programs for the YMCA
17	MS. MADDEN: YWCA.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: YWCA of Baton Rouge.
19	I have to stop just reading what I'm
20	given.
21	Let me start over again, please, and I
22	apologize.
23	Ms. Roberta M. Madden is the M right
24	MS. MADDEN: Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is program coordinator

for racial justice programs for the YWCA of Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

MS. MADDEN: Got it.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: She is a former director of the American Diabetes Association,
Louisiana affiliate, and former field director of the American Diabetes Association, Louisiana affiliate, and former field director for Common Cause.

Throughout her career she has been active in many professional and civic organizations concerned with human rights. These have included the National Organization for Women, League of Women Voters, Common Cause, the ACLU, the NAACP, and the Baton Rouge Council On Human Relations.

Currently, she is an active member and past president of Early Risers Kiwanis Club, board member of Southern Mutual Help Association, the National Women's Political Caucus of Louisiana, and the National Breast Cancer Coalition. Recently, she was featured in the South Baton Rouge Journal for her community service. And she was honored with the 2001 Wade Mackie Peacemaking Award for Peace and Justice.

 $\label{eq:VICE CHAIR REYNOSO:} At what time was the $$.$$ Kiwanis meeting?

MS. MADDEN: 6:30 a.m.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Okay.
2	MS. MADDEN: Every Tuesday.
3	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: It's probably Wade
4	Mackie is it Mackie?
5	MS. MADDEN: I beg your pardon?
6	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The award?
7	MS. MADDEN: Wade Mackie.
8	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes, Peacemaking Award
9	for Peace and Justice. Welcome.
10	Lavon Stennis-Williams is an attorney in
11	private practice in Omaha, Nebraska. She formerly
12	served as the chair of the Nebraska Equal Opportunity
13	Commission. That's the government agency, right?
14	MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So now you're in the
16	private sector?
17	MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. In 1998 she
19	received a city of
20	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: You don't have a
21	government pension, do you?
22	MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: No, I do not.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: In 1998 she received
24	the City of Omaha's "Martin Luther King, Jr. Living
25	the Dream Award" and was recognized as one of the 30
ĺ	NEAL R. GROSS

future leaders by Johnson Publications. She is a 1 member of the Omaha Public School Gifted and Talented 2 Committee and a former member of the city of Omaha's Administrative Appeals Board. 4 From Oklahoma, we have Dr. Earl Mitchell, Dr. Mitchell, from Stillwater, Oklahoma is --Jr. which part of the state if that? DR. MITCHELL: That's 60 miles north of 8 Oklahoma City and 60 miles west of Tulsa. 9 of the CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Is one 10 universities there? 11 DR. MITCHELL: Oklahoma State University. 12 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Dr. Mitchell 13 is vice president -- for multi-14 from Stillwater cultural affairs and a professor of biochemistry at 15 he is State University, where Oklahoma 16 institutionalizing a diversity training program. He 17 is a member of the NAACP, ACLU, and chair of Payne 18 County Habitat for Humanity. 19 Did you hear that the President had the 20 Nobel Peace Prize? 21 MITCHELL: Yes, and Ι had the DR. 22 privilege of having Jimmy Carter come to Stillwater to 23 dedicate our first house. My wife introduced him. 24 Well, I woke up and CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 25

saw that on TV this morning. I thought the old man deserves it. That's great. Mitchell has received Dr. manv professional honors and he's listed in "Who's Who in 4 the Southwest," "Who's Who in Black America," and 5 "American Men of Science." He has contributed to many 6 worthwhile community, academic and civil endeavors. 7 He has been an active member of the Oklahoma Advisory 8 9 Committee, for which we thank you very much. We're going to begin with Dr. Wells from 10 11 Alabama and then proceed. Welcome and thank you. Proceed. 12 Madam Chair and other members 13 DR. WELLS: of the dias, ladies and gentlemen, good morning. 14 are happy to be here for the cause of civil rights. 15 I was looking at the <u>USA Today</u> and noticed 16 17 that Alabama was not at the bottom of the totem pole. There's a little snapshot here that shows Utah spends 18 They listed the least amount of money on pupils. 19 about five and we were not among the bottom and I was 20 21 happy to see that. Utah is at the bottom 22 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 23 now? 24 Anyway, I am here to DR. WELLS: Yes. 25 just mention a few things we've done in the past year.

had a day-long SAC meeting November we Last The theme for that day was to Birmingham, Alabama. focus in on police community relations. We were very fortunate to have a very diverse and representative group of people from various civil rights related issue talk about that offices to come and particular.

Also we discussed being at the bottom of the totem pole in terms of not having human relations legislation for the creation of a human relations committee in Alabama.

We had representatives from police departments, and from EEOC offices. In the state of Alabama we do have at least three or four of our cities that have human relations committees which I think is a good basis and background for us moving forward hopefully in the next legislative term in the State of Alabama.

We also met again a few months ago in Birmingham, Alabama. At that meeting we spent most of our time talking about plans and strategies that we would be putting in place this legislative year hoping that this will be the year.

We have done some work on this in the past in 1991 and we hope to build on that and hope that

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this term will be the year that we get the legislation passed for a human relations committee in Alabama. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Can I start off by asking you a couple questions? We are going to ask each person some questions as we go along if we have any and then we'll ask everybody questions.

Right now in Alabama, and I know this is hard for a whole state, what are the major — are there some major civil rights things going on? Is it politics or is it the vote or is it judges or budget for education issues or is it how children are faring in schools?

Is it a sex discrimination issue or is it something -- I mean, is there something -- where are the minds of the people in Alabama focused right now on major issues and do any of them have to do with civil rights concerns?

DR. WELLS: Well, all of the above to some degree. We are very concerned about the lag in the desegregation suit. There were some issues that were put on the table many years ago that have not been met in the case of promotions of professors at the major institutions that have been a cause of concern.

And, of course, we are concerned about K

through 12 education. There is a lot of talk about that. A number of our schools, those that are heavily populated with minority students are on merit, if you We want to do something about that. will. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You their mean statuses that they are below level. DR. WELLS: That's right. They are below level in academic performance. If they do not move from that status, the Department of Education will try to take over the school so we are concerned about that. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. I see. Okay. There will be some other things that we will probably want to ask after that but I just wondered in general. Dr. Mitchell, what about Arkansas? going on with Arkansas? DR. KATHERINE MITCHELL: To the Chair of the Commission and fellow SAC Chairs and staff and other people present, I want to start with some good news. - There was a victory in Arkansas in Little Rock just a couple of weeks ago when the judge ruled in favor of partial unitary status of our schools. know we've been in court for too many years. That simply means that the Little Rock

public schools have done what they say they would do

2

d

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in terms of providing education opportunities for all students. The only area that we will be under court supervision is for program evaluation and student assessment.

We are still working on narrowing the gap in student performance between the African-American students and the White students. We still have some work on that to do. That's what the courts will be monitoring so we are really pleased and celebrating that victory.

Unfortunately, the local NAACP came out in the press to say that this was one of the most critical mistakes that the judge could have made. It rates second to the central high desegregation crisis and that was a blow. I serve on the Little Rock School Board and I personally feel that a lot of progress has been made but we are never always where we need to be but we are striving to get there.

There's also a lot of concern about election reform. I guess just a week and a half ago I was -- I'm probably a part of that as the winner of the election for the school board. I was reelected to my term. I've served since 1988 on the school board.

My opponent is saying that there were some voting irregularities. Unfortunately, the school

1

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

board election draws the lowest turnout. It's the only elected position in our state that does not come with a salary. Everybody else is paid except the school board.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Maybe that has something to do with it.

DR. MITCHELL: It's truly an opportunity to render public service.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: My mother used to always tell me, and she tells me, don't ever go and do something for people for nothing. Even if you charge them \$5 or a meal or something because if you do it for them for nothing, they say it must not be worth anything because you did it for nothing. Even if you charge them dinner, they will treat you nicer.

DR. MITCHELL: We do get a free dinner in our school. Anyway, I think it's going — there are so many problems with the election process, especially in Pulaski County. In fact, there's a little article in this paper this morning about the concern.

Unfortunately this lady was just reelected in circuit court and that's her jurisdiction so there are a lot of problems at this late stage in the game. There are thousands of citizens in Pulaski County who still do not have their proper voting precinct so

there are a lot of problems.

Early voting starts in one week and then, of course, November 5 is election day. They are still trying to work those problems out through the Election Commission that really I feel don't have as much -- it doesn't have as much authority as it should. All the authority basically is with the Circuit Court and that person is in an elected position so it takes the voters to make that change.

We are still dealing with fair housing. Just recently, though, a person was appointed to head that concern. A few years ago Ms. Robinson and Mr. Jenkins came to Little Rock and we had the hearings regarding the need for the Human Rights Agency.

In the State of Arkansas there is a law but there is no teeth in that law because there is no one to enforce it.

Mark Pryor who now serves as Attorney General, but hopefully who will become the Senator from Arkansas, offered space in his office but they didn't think that it would be on a fair level plain to be housed in the Attorney General's office so we are still working with that.

We have had meetings with the governor.

Mr. Jenkins and Ms. Robinson have come down on a few

occasions where we have had meetings with the governor. He will agree that there is a need but we have no money. Nothing else has been done. We are still working on it.

A couple of us have been on the radio talking about our concerns and voicing the concerns of others in this regard. As a result of that, some organizations have come forward to join forces with us so we are hoping once this election is over we can really make some connections with the elected officials who will be assembled in January to consider this again.

Fortunately one of the legislators that was really helping us was reelected and has no opponent for the general election so we hope we will make some in-roads this time.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Ms. Roberta next.

MS. MADDEN: That's me. Madam Chair, members of the Commission, staff members and guests, I'm very honored to be invited here today and I appreciate the opportunity to share with you what we're doing in Louisiana and some of the challenges facing us in Louisiana.

I would like to mention a couple of reports that we have worked on. One of them deals

with environmental justice and that was first issued about seven or eight years ago. We found a number of problems that needed to be addressed. We decided just a year or two ago that we need to go back and revisit that situation and see if those problems had been appropriately addressed.

We did another fact-finding hearing in Lake Charles this time and assembled a very balanced group of people from industry, consumers, government, folks with all kinds of different angles on the issue of the environment and whether environmental decisions may be based differently on the basis of race.

We found in the most recent fact-finding hearing that there were some racial disparities. We found what we had found seven years earlier, that there is still a great lack of coordination among the federal and state and local agencies that deal with environmental issues. Sometimes the right hand doesn't know what the left hand is doing.

We found that the industry is not very responsive. Seven years ago, or eight years ago, we found they were not responsive at all. They took the challenge and have tried to become more responsive arguing that they gave money to the Boy Scouts or they gave out turkeys at Christmas.

ଶ

They still weren't really dealing with the concerns that consumers had about what they perceived as poisons and toxics in the environment and in the waters that was making them sick. That was their perception. A turkey at Thanksgiving or a gift to the Boy Scouts was not really meeting those concerns.

Another thing that came up this time is state agency that oversees alľ of the the issues Department of environmental is the Environmental Quality. Many years ago -- well, I don't know how many years ago, perhaps 10 or 15 years ago, the state legislature decided that in the future the DEQ, Department of Environmental Quality, would be funded strictly by fines and assessments to industry. I remember thinking at the time, "Well, that's a good They're the polluters. Let them pay."

The fact is it's the fox guarding the hen house really, or the rabbit guarding the lettuce patch because the DEQ is much too closely linked with industry.

We are going to recommend in our report, which is still under construction, that the legislature should issue funds for the Department of Environmental Quality from the general fund because it really protects all of us. I thought that was a very

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

interesting thing that came out of it.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing that we have done in the last few years is a forum on church burnings in think our distinguished chair was Louisiana. Ι One of the things that struck me present for that. held Baker, that hearing that was in most in Louisiana, just north of Baton Rouge, was there are two very different views of race relations in our We heard a white official, I think it was a judge, say that we have no racial problems at all here Everything is hunky dory. in Baker. We are all getting along great. We don't even see color. colorblind and all of those kinds of things. Then we heard a Black preacher get up and say that was not true at all. There were black neighborhoods over here and there were white neighborhoods over here and the people didn't know each other. I have found that to be true in my experience working with the YWCA. of our mission is the elimination of racism.

At our last meeting of our State Advisory

Committee we heard from several government officials

from the city of Baker including the mayor. This

mayor is the first black mayor that the city of Baker

has ever had. He began to notice that there were five

counsel members, four of whom were white. He began to

notice that he was being treated much differently from his predecessors in the job of major.

In the past the job of mayor for this very small town has been a part-time job, but all of a sudden the four white counsel members said, "We're going to make this a full-time job." Same salary but now it's a full-time job. The mayor had been teaching, I believe also on the side. I don't know whether he was teaching at Southern University but he was also a teacher.

There were a lot of other complaints. He couldn't get his budget passed. They cut the funds for police and fire department. Just lots and lots of problems which seemed to be based on race.

One of our members is Ruper Richardson, a former president of the National NAACP as well as the state NAACP. She pointed out that if the population is predominately black, which I think it is just slightly over 50 percent Black in that community. Yet, the voters are not anywhere near that number among blacks. The black vote is perhaps 35 percent or something like that.

She said really what you're talking about is not a violation of the law, but you're talking about the fact that your own folks are not registered,

-2

are not voting, are not participating. I thought that was kind of an interesting point.

Also, the recall petition for the mayor recently failed. I just saw that in the paper a couple of days ago. Maybe some of those folks have gotten registered in the meantime. I'm hoping so.

One of the things that Mr. Jenkins suggested in the midst of all this turmoil that was going on in the city of Baker was that perhaps we invite a mediator from the Justice Department to come. We voted to do that and I understand the mediator has already arrived and some progress has been made. That was a very useful idea and a practical thing that we could do.

I would like to tell you just a little bit about race relations in Baton Rouge aside from those issues that I've mentioned. It was 10 years ago that a Japanese exchange student was killed in Baton Rouge. You may remember the case of Yossi Hattori. He was killed when he was going to a Halloween party and went to the wrong house. The owner of the house came out with a shotgun and killed him immediately.

Well, since that time his parents have really crusaded for better gun policies in the United States. In fact, they were in Baton Rouge recently.

d

It's been 10 years since Yossi's death. By the way, his host parents were members of my church the Unitarian Church in Baton Rouge.

It's been 10 years and we're having a special service during the YWCA's Week Without Violence, which is October 20th through the 26th. A special service to remember Yossi but also remembering a lot of other victims of violence in our community.

Right now we have a problem with a serial killer and a lot of us are saying -- they do have a profile of the killer. A lot of us are saying thank God it's a white man instead of a Black man because race relations are still very delicate in Baton Rouge. We still have lots of problems.

One of the things my organization, the YWCA, has tried to do for more than 30 years is to create better understandings among the races through dialogues on race. We have stepped that up in recent years until now we are planning to do four of them this fall.

It's a structured group of about a dozen people of different races, Black, White, Hispanic, Asian, men and women, people of different backgrounds with a pair of skilled trained facilitators who lead that discussion with some readings. It's not just a

chit chat. It's a very serious facilitated discussion.

It has been so effective that one of the members of one of our recent groups who identifies himself as a rich white guy said, "I would like to get a lot of other rich white guys to take this." He has put up some money to help us do that. I am one of the facilitators in one of the groups along with Mike Morris.

Mike is an African-American man. He's a former police officer and also serves on our State Advisory Committee. We are very excited about it now because we are starting a new group at one of the major hospitals which will include the CEO of the hospital.

Our focus now is not just on let's try to get along. That's really not what we're doing because we already get along. What we're trying to do is look at the problem of institutional racism and realize that white people in our society have a lot of benefits that many times we are unconscious of.

We don't even know we have these benefits.

It's kind of "ah-hah" when the white members of the group understand that for the first time. Then we realize we have a lot of work to do to break down

institutional racism.

We can't end that dialogue, that six-week session, with a checklist and say, "Here's what you've got to do to end institutional racism." Each in our own way we can figure out some things that can be done to make it better. That's one of the exciting things we're working on.

We also included some Muslims in some of these dialogues and we had a dialogue on race and terrorism. That's how I got to be good friends with a man named Jehad Mahmoud. Jehad has since that time joined the Early Risers Kiwanis Club and has taken part in several YWCA sponsored dialogues.

We have seen some instances in Baton Rouge, and I think all over the state, of discrimination against people of the Muslim faith. I think that is something that this Commission needs to look at if you haven't already.

One of the things that happened shortly after 9/11 last year was a rash statement by a Congressman from North Louisiana, John Cooksey. John Cooksey is now running for the U.S. Senate against Mary Landrieu. Actually, there are three major Republican candidates and one Democrat, the incumbent.

Congressman Cooksey said he thought it was

okay to do racial profiling in airports because he said if you see some quy, and these are his words, "If you see some guy with a diaper around his head and a fan belt wrapped around that diaper, it's okay to stop He was given a chance several times to take him." "No, I back and he said, stand by that This guy is trying to become our next statement." I am very concerned about that, too. U.S. Senator.

What is happening in our East Baton Rouge parish schools, we have the longest running desegregation case in the whole country, 46 years now.

I keep hearing that they are getting a little closer to solving it but the meetings are closed so we really don't know what's going on.

What has happened because of institutional racism in our education system in Baton Rouge is that little by little the whites have pulled their kids out of schools, put them in private schools so we have a population in East Baton Rouge parish which is 60 percent white and 40 percent black. Our schools are 60 percent Black and 40 percent white. The trend is going that way.

We are finding that the public is not supporting taxes. The public since it's 60 percent white voters are not supporting taxes for the public

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

schools. Often times they perceive it as that's just for Black kids and they don't count. They're not saying that but that's the underlying message. It's a very critical issue. I don't know if a lot of progress is being made behind these closed doors but I sure hope so.

Finally, I want to say one more thing. Ι know I have probably taken a little too much time. Recently a report very critical of the city parish and East Baton Rouge parish Baton Rouge personnel policies was issued by the long-time a man by the name of personnel director, Boykin.

He put out a report saying the City of Baton Rouge is 50 percent plus Black. The parish is 40 percent black, and yet the top-paying jobs in city parish government are held by white people. Only two, I think, of the top jobs and around 12 percent of those in the middle level despite those population levels. It's very bad in the police department. It's very bad in the Department of Public Works.

I thought it was a very interesting report. As soon as it hit the papers the mayor fired Jerald Boykin. Kill the messenger. The report went to the city parish council and they refused to accept

1

2

3

5

a

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the report. They wouldn't even accept it. The four blacks on the council voted to accept it but the eight whites did not. We have some serious problems in Baton Rouge but we are working on them. I just wanted to give you a short capsule up to date of what's going on I appreciate your attention. there. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you. That was all very, very interesting. There will be lots of questions about it. Now we will hear about Nebraska from Lavon Stennis-Williams. Good morning, Madam MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: Chair, chair members, staff members, others assembled. I bring you greetings from the great State Nebraska. As much as I would like to say that things have changed, they really have not. In one sense the more things have changed they have really remained the same in Nebraska. Law enforcement regarding police profiling continues to be a problem, as well as the manner in which police officers respond to minorities when they do call the police. Traffic stops continue to show that there

is a pattern. In fact, UNO just recently released --

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

University of Nebraska, Omaha, released a study that showed there is an impact on minorities and women being stopped by police in Omaha, as well as the state highway patrol.

Nebraska was one of the few states able to local Black leadership to support efforts to resegregate our schools. There was a bond issue that was passed that was supposed to -- the premise was to basically provide better schools. neighborhood schools and felt of return without resegregation of our school system financial means to effectuate some of the promises they said there would be.

As a result, we have Black children that have returned to neighborhood schools in far inferior conditions with no means of anything to address the achievement level so achievement remains a problem in Nebraska.

We have a reemerging issue with employment discrimination in terms of non-English speaking people who have come to Nebraska for the jobs in the western part of the state. There are no resources to address their concerns and needs.

In addition to that, we have basically an attack on affirmative action and equal employment

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

opportunity. We have seen recently the state introduced an affirmative action plan that was fought vehemently by many people in leadership in the city.

The EEO agencies in our city, the Omaha Human Relations Department and the Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commission continue to be the first sacrificial lambs whenever there's any budget issues being addressed by the state.

Most recently we had to deal with the issue of efforts by Senators to eliminate the state agency in an effort to balance the budget. Followed by that a week later were city council members recommending the elimination of our Human Rights Agency to balance the budget. They were the first agency on the chopping block.

We were successfully able to fend off any attacks but we know they are going to come around again in January because these agencies have basically no voice, no constituency group that would speak for it because the resources that have allowed them to really do their job are not sufficient so, therefore, people who are using the agencies are not having their concerns properly addressed. Of course, the people who are creating the need for the agencies come out in full force to oppose them.

What the SAC is doing in Nebraska is that these issues are always the same. Nothing has really changed and there have been countless studies. What we're doing is seeing what is the commitment of local authorities, the governor, the mayor, and people who can make a difference.

We are revealing all of our previous reports and looking at the recommendations to see what is the status of these recommendations. "What is your commitment to eliminating these problems we have identified for you as far back as 10 years ago?"

Nothing has really changed in that area.

The rationale behind that is because we are a volunteer group. We have limited resources and the problems are the same so there is no reason to keep reinventing the wheel. We are going back saying, "What are you doing with these issues that we have identified for you 10 years ago?" Hopefully we will be able to make some headway as we basically make them respond to, "What are you doing?"

I think what is being used in our state, as well as other areas, is the use of race neutral classifications like poverty. Things that were not coming up on the radar screen to pinpoint discrimination are being used against us.

One thing is in the area of education in which the classifications are based on poverty, when actually you can just insert the word "minority students." Of course, everybody would be screaming if that was the case so the school board has been able to, I guess, escape detection by using a race neutral classification.

We also see that in the area of housing patterns. It is becoming more and more difficult to get multi-family dwellings in a certain area of our city. What they're saying is we don't want an over-concentration of, once again, low-income housing which actually results in minorities.

What you have is local laws being enforced under the guise of trying to control an over-abundance low-income housing when it's actually to discrimination which they don't want unlawful minorities in certain parts of town. We are seeing that impact based on race neutral factors in the areas of education and housing. That would probably be the next project we will undertake once we look at the is of giving reports and see what the status recommendations to enforce.

I guess I would be remiss if I did not conclude with the fact that I do feel that there is a

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

need for this agency to be taken very seriously by the powers that be. If there has ever been a need for the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights the time is now as people have become more and more clever with how they are getting away with discrimination and violating civil rights.

I know that I am committed to going back to my lawmakers and enforcing to them to make sure that this agency is properly funded because right now

I know that I am committed to going back to my lawmakers and enforcing to them to make sure that this agency is properly funded because right now we have government sanction, racism, and discrimination and you all need to be probably the most powerful agencies that this government is supporting because of the need.

Now we have people who are basically getting revenge at the backs of minorities. I think you for what you're doing. I'm proud to be a part of this body through my role as a volunteer member of Nebraska's SAC. I appreciate the opportunity to speak before you today.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: All right. Thank you very much. I am sure we will have questions.

We'll go along here and have Dr. Earl Mitchell give us some information or the dope from Oklahoma.

DR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much, Dr.

Berry, Commissioners, and my distinguished comrades, chairs, and staff.

It's delightful for me to be here because I could not make the last site chairperson's meeting. Today I'm sponsoring in my university a leadership conference, Native Americans, which is a program to talk about sovereignty and also bioterrorism in the Native American community. We have a CDC person coming so it was important to come here.

What we do in Oklahoma is follow up on an education study. There have been difficulties in Oklahoma with Spanish-speaking children in school in terms of education. We looked at some school systems and looked at the discrimination in education. The last issue is follow-up.

I want to give you some idea of where we are in Oklahoma. Some of the things mentioned here I'll just very briefly mention because they are the same problems; police brutality, racial profiling. That's a major problem.

Interstate 40 goes through Oklahoma so profiling starts there and many people are profiled and we only hear about it when they get back home. They are stopped, given tickets, searched. They will call back to somebody in Oklahoma, some relative, and

d

let them know what happened as they were leaving the state. Much of racial profiling we don't really know about until later so it does happen.

Police brutality is an issue in Oklahoma
City right now. The main thing with the police in
Oklahoma is the lawsuit in Tulsa with the Black police
officers, civil rights lawsuit. There are some issues
there in terms of protection, equal access. Officers
are asking for things to change the system.

They are not asking for money. This is kind of a strange question because everybody thinks people want to sue for money but they are just asking for change in terms of how the system operates in terms of supporting Black officers.

In the area of administration of justice one major issue is the quality of legal services to individual people. I think that is a national problem. We don't really deal with it but there are some civil rights issues involved there because if you look at first impact, those that get the least are usually minorities because those are the ones of low income who use indigent fund system.

The quality representation is always very questionable. There is not much quality representation at all. We have a system in this

country that if you can afford the best lawyer, you get the best deal. It really shouldn't be that way.

We should have a system that really responds to give the best defense that one can have. That means that a number of people are convicted on issues that maybe they wouldn't be convicted on if they had good legal representation.

The other question in the legal system and administrative justice is the issue of filing charges and also sentencing. Those issues in Oklahoma are very, very real in terms of what kind of charges are filed. We see some of that locally in terms of our community.

You talk about race, you have in one situation where people say, yes, race, but if we have people who are more prominent, then they get charged less. For example, football players are usually given a lesser sentence than any other Black person that might do the same thing. You can use that and say, no, it's not race but there is an issue there that needs to be looked at.

The charter schools. The concern in our charter schools is more about equal access because charter schools tend to be more selective even though there are some great charter schools in this country.

I know the one in Houston is one of the best charter schools around.

Normally most charter schools in this country are primarily religious. It's, again, state and government coming together but it's equal access that is the issue so that's a civil rights issue with charter schools.

The prison civil rights issue. We have a private prison system and there are issues on who gets out and how long they stay. Private prisons get them in longer and it's usually the Blacks that are impacted on that. They can lose the paperwork and you may be in for an extra week. That means extra pay for the prison. The money business has an adverse impact.

I want to mention something about Native Americans because Oklahoma has a 7.6 percent Native American population and is 7.4 percent African American. The largest ethnic group in Oklahoma since the '90 census has been Native Americans.

Native Americans live in the rural areas.

75 percent are in the rural areas. African-Americans

75 percent are in the urban areas. Those are two very different populations that receive social services quite differently.

Oklahoma has 39 recognized Native-American

d

1 tribes, second in the country in the Native-American 2 population behind California. 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: How many? DR. MITCHELL: How many? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I didn't hear what you said. DR. MITCHELL: I said second in the nation 8 in population. 9 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Twenty-five? 10 DR. MITCHELL: Thirty-nine recognized 11 tribes. Thirty-nine federal recognized tribes. We 12 are divided in terms of eastern tribes and western 13 tribes. Oklahoma is a little different. When people think of Oklahoma and Native Americans, you don't 14 15 think in terms of reservations. Reservations are very 16 specific and have some very special problems 17 reservations. 18 still have sovereignty problems 19 Oklahoma because these are tribes. How it interferes 20 with civil rights, for example, in health care or in 21 We have problems in housing with Nativehousing. 22 The problems that exist are problems that Americans. 23 exist within the tribe. 24 On one hand, there is sovereignty but when 25 you have a disabled person who can't get the services

and the BIA is in charge, then there is an issue that concerns the actual functioning of the BIA as an entity of the government. We respect the sovereignty of the tribes but there is a federal presence that needs to be looked at in terms of doing the job.

We know publicly all the questions about the BIA in terms of working with Native-Americans and their responsibilities in a number of areas but I think there are civil rights issues involved.

Now, I realize the sensitivity of this involvement because we had a commissioner that went to the reservation, if you remember, and really caused quite a stir. It impacted us in Oklahoma, too, because we had a hearing at that time and the Native-Americans boycotted us completely on this very important hearing.

I think the sovereignty question is very, very important. At the same time, there is a federal presence. It is that federal presence, I think, in terms of civil rights that the Commission -- that we are concerned about.

Redlining is a problem in Oklahoma City.

Not necessarily in buying but also in renting.

Tremendous problems in the northwest part of Oklahoma

City which is usually pretty wealthy white area.

blacks are not directed there. It's the same problems. One person calls and they are white and if a black calls and they get the voice, no. Those problems still exist today. You would think it would be different.

In terms of education, mentioning that the Native-Americans are in the rural areas, I just received a talent search grant and part of the studies we did on our information was to look at the rural schools surrounding Stillwater where the university is located.

We found one community, Glencoe, which is an all-white community. Forty-percent of the children in public school are Native-American which means they are bused in from some of the surrounding rural areas where the Native-Americans live. More than 50 percent use the free lunch programs. Thinking that Native-Americans are rich and wealthy in Oklahoma is a misnomer. That's not necessarily true. The poverty still exist there. In Oklahoma we have entries in all of those.

One of the things I wanted to bring up while I'm here is I appreciate the commissioners coming to the meeting -- having a meeting out here, but I do think it's important that we try to use

resources as best we can because our regional office is in Kansas City. Oklahoma has not had very much action mainly because of staffing. Then there have been some issues that have been very, very serious in terms of our region dealing with first.

I appreciate the regional office moving to some of those really critical areas. Environmental question in Louisiana was very, very important. Extremely important because it impacts all of us. There are some issues that come up that has to be done immediately.

We've been slighted and I understand it, but I think in terms of resources we need to do something with resources. My suggestion is that I think the Commission should probably not be meeting as often outside, using resources for the regional office.

think it's important that the Ι Commissioners do meet at least four times year outside of the Washington, D.C. area. least -- the can cover all the regions so that at least a Commission appointment is two years. Αt Commissioner for two years will get to see all the regions by meeting outside of Washington. We know everything doesn't happen in Washington. It's out

1

2

3

4

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here and we know that.

At the same time I'm trying to think of some compromise because the resources used for meeting as often outside could be used for regional offices. I think it is important to meet outside like you have in here. I think getting around the country in all the regions and meeting in every region at least during the two-year period would be quite sufficient.

With that, I thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Okay. Thank you.

We're going to have some questions, but first I wanted to ask you about the resource question — I appreciate you raising it — and whether you were privy to the discussions about different ways that committees might meet.

Now you have a situation where you are supposed to meet and have somebody present from a regional office which is a constraint on meetings. We talked when some of the SAC chairs were in Washington and we talked to people as we go around the country about different ways to meet.

For example, you can teleconference and have the person from the region doesn't have to be present physically in your meeting, which means there can be more meetings going on than there would be if

there was only one person. That is the first thing.

I don't know if you were told about that,
Dr. Mitchell, or not, as an option, but that is an
option so that your SAC could meet. Even if somebody
from the region can't actually be physically there you
would be able to meet anyway.

DR. MITCHELL: Yes. Absolutely. I missed that meeting so I was not aware but that is one of the things that I would like to do because I know I do it now. We have teleconferencing at the university so we have several places in Tulsa and Oklahoma City and in Stillwater that we can have a meeting, a SAC meeting, and everybody in the state could attend one of those locations.

Then we had persons who were testifying or giving information and they could be at any one of those places and have a meeting that way. Yes, that would be one of the --

I would like to use the electronic method. Speaking of electronic, I would prefer receiving the minutes electronically rather than in the mail. If you send them e-mail that would be fine. I think there are some things we can do in terms of electronic communications, too.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yeah, we talked about

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that and we talked about making sure that all the SAC members had e-mail and that people could be in touch not only with the region and the regional office, but be in touch with the staff director's office and regional coordinators, the chairs we're talking about, in Washington. We talked about all these different ways for people to be able to communicate more freely so that you would be able to do more despite the fact that you don't have resources to do it.

The reason why you don't have the resources obviously is because when Melvin came on the Commission some years ago, I think he was saving yesterday, the budget of the Commission was something like \$14 or \$15 million dollars. The budget of the Commission now is about \$9 million. Even if vou correct for the ups and downs of inflation, it would be much more than that now.

The other thing that I think you should be aware of is when the Commission does meet, I think this is the third or fourth time we've met somewhere else, we do have to go from Washington and hold hearings in various places as we did in Miami and so on, but it was a recommendation of the regional coordinator and the regional directors and the SAC members who came to Washington that we go out to meet

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with people.

a

That was a motion that we acted on in May which caused us to realize that all we'd been doing was meeting in Washington and having people either come to us or every now and then have a hearing and that not all wisdom resided inside the beltway. That caused us to respond and say, "Okay. We'll go out and meet with people."

I have found the best meetings of the Commission, to tell you the truth, have been on the road. I have learned more. I have met more people here. The people I met yesterday, the experience we had, the things we heard, it never could have happened in Washington. It couldn't even have happened over the phone.

When we went to Wilmington a month ago, what we heard and the things we took back, and the small things that the staff was able to do that they heard about that people had been trying to get some information from somebody that they had never gotten it. Just a little thing like that that they were able to do.

When we went to Detroit and had the meeting and people from the Native-American community came in to talk about post-9/11 and what's been

happening to them and to people who are Muslim and people who think they are Muslims and they're not. They are Hispanic Catholics and they think they're Muslims or something. Lebanese Catholics or something or Christian Fundamentalists.

Anyway, what we heard there and the way the people felt about the fact that we came, to me I just -- and when we asked the staff director, because that question was put to us by somebody who didn't think we should go anywhere, and the staff director figured out it didn't cost that much more to go somewhere than it did to meet in Washington primarily because Commissioners aren't all in Washington.

They have to come to Washington from California, South Dakota, from wherever. They might as well go to Jackson as to go to California and there are ways to do it.

view is, and I wouldn't have expected this, that I just learn so much and I would hate to see the Commission even with our limited resources not be able to go places. I would love for us to be able to go to every state if that was possible. It's not possible but I would love it if we would be able to go out in communities and go to every state.

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Madam Chair, if I could just add a couple of quick comments. I find that when we went to Florida it was -- we got a lot out of it but that was really part of a briefing that we went down to do on elections so this is only the third time that we have traveled outside the beltway under the new format.

Each one of them has been different and I find that each one has contributed in different ways to, I think, the Commission and a better understanding of what's going on. One of the reasons we wanted to invite SAC chairs from neighboring states to come here was because we felt it was an efficient way to learn what was going on and a good way to contrast and compare some of the issues.

For example, we know that three of the states here are dealing with the issue of civil rights agencies so this provides an opportunity for you all to talk and for us to listen, for the commissioners to listen to that issue.

In terms of the frequency, I can't keep up with the requests, frankly, from SACs to come visit. When we were in Wilmington, of course, again we invited SACs to come there and two of the SACs implored me afterwards to have the Commission.

is

This

with

the

it

balance

They said, "We love this. wonderful but it's not the same as you coming to my Can the commissioners come to my state next month," or something like that. I didn't want to be discouraging because I was very enthused about that, but I had to kind of say, "Well, there are a couple of regions we haven't even hit yet. A number of SAC chance meet chairs haven't had a to commissioners in this kind of format vet probably won't be in the next few months." trying to Again, are we I personally found that the benefit of going out into the different states and regions has been extraordinary and enormous. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We wouldn't have been able to hear you make that comment if we hadn't come here. Yes, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MEEKS:

Since I've been on the Commission, and I'm from South Dakota, and we had a forum there shortly after I came on, and that's where it was so obvious that we gave a voice to people that didn't usually have a voice. Then there was this disconnect in Washington.

> Hearing you all say this, I sympathize

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with you from Nebraska because I understand. I know the minority population is smaller than, of course, the majority population, but that it really does not have a voice.

It occurred to me, I mean, listening to all of your presentations that in Arkansas and Louisiana where there's been a lot of work and there's a larger minority population, the work isn't done by any means, but you somehow manage to get a voice.

And you've got the voters -- there's a lot of work to be done yet -- going to the poles so they are starting to be heard in the states like Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and a lot of the other states. I mean, the minority population is small enough that they really are not being heard. I will continue to advocate that we go to the states. I will always make this argument.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: And we will always advocate that we get more money.

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: So will we.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: We will also have Oklahoma. I've been wanting Oklahoma to be a place where we would go. I don't know where when we get there.

COMMISSIONER MEEKS: Durant. My son is

going to school in Durant.

4

d

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Oh, he is?

STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Oh, no. It's not going to be in Durant.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: I never heard of Durant.

But I had some substantive questions that I'm sure that others did, too, that I wanted to ask if it's all right if I ask them.

First go back to the point you made, Ms. Stennis-Williams, when you were talking about Nebraska and about the equal opportunity agencies being underfunded. You made what I thought was an excellent point when you said that even the people who would support the agency don't support it because it doesn't have enough to do anything for them.

Even when they come there -- if I understood you correctly, even if they came there to get some relief, they wouldn't get what they were seeking because there wouldn't be enough staff or anything to do it so they would come away and have a negative experience. Even the supporters, the people you would think are supposed to be supporting you, wouldn't be there. It was a corollary.

The Commission for years has found that if

you look at a civil rights enforcement agency's case load and the caseload is very low, it doesn't mean there are no problems. What it means is the people don't think they are going to do anything anyway.

When the staff went out and surveyed people, they said, "Those people can't do anything for you anyway. You either go there and there's nobody to do anything or you go there and you talk to them and they don't do anything. Why should we go over there?"

It's not that the number shows because They had said, "See, some people have thought that. there are no civil rights problems because nobody uses The Justice Department says that civil rights it." problems have become very low in the Reagan years, to They said, "There aren't that many put a face on it. Civil Rights problems because the rights civil Division of Justice doesn't have that many people complaining anymore."

We found that if you don't have the resources, you don't do it, or you don't show the commitment, then people don't come and that's a bad sign and not a good sign. Does that relate to the point you were making?

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: Exactly. Another issue is sometimes they will look at the no reasonable

2

3

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cause findings and many of the people who are in favor of getting rid of the agency will say that just because, as an example, five cases were filed and four were no reasonable cause, that there was no discrimination taking place in the state.

It does not mean that. It means that because of a lack of funding, we are not able to get qualified investigators. The case loads in many cases are so high that people are burning out. There is not enough resources to hire qualified, competent, and sufficient number of investigators to do timely investigations of cases.

You may have a case that's filed a year or two years before you get any type of response back. Often times by the time that case is filed to the point of investigation, you have it being so compromised that usually a no reasonable cause finding is the only thing possible because witnesses have left, documents have been lost or destroyed, memories have waned for whatever purposes.

Exactly what you have is then you have the people who would normally come out and support and fight for those agencies saying, "It did not serve me so, therefore, I'm not going to support it."

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So it was related to

the same point.

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I wanted to ask about was when you were talking about Louisiana, Ms. Madden, you were talking about the report that you had just done on cancer, the new report, and what they had found. You said that the Department of Environmental Quality that you thought you were going to recommend be funded out of the general fund instead of from the fees.

The new model in Washington for a lot of these agencies, people are saying they ought to be funded out of monies taken from the businesses they fact, all the regulatory and that, in agencies should be funded by the businesses that they fees they get from the and the that regulate regulation, that that's the correct model. you saying you don't think that makes any sense?

MS. MADDEN: You know, it sounds good but when you start looking at it more closely it isn't. It calls to mind an example -- I also work for Common Causes as a volunteer. We pushed hard for many years for campaign finance reform.

At one time senators and representatives were going at the expense of special interest groups on all kinds of lavish vacations. Sometimes they would say there was an educational seminar which might

be one hour and then it was a whole week golfing and swimming and all the rest of it. If they were going to go and get that one hour seminar, maybe that should be at the taxpayer's expense.

That's what I think. Wouldn't it make sense to have the industry pay for it because they have this expertise? The answer is really no. There are just too many traps in having the industry in bed really with the regulators. It doesn't really work. It sounds good on the surface but I don't think it works. I think that is what we saw when we went through this fact-finding hearing.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: The general question that I wanted to ask you, you said that -- you were talking about the forums and dialogues they have been holding on institutional racism. You were talking about people who don't seem to think that racism exist or words to that effect. A couple of you have mentioned that.

I just wondered how do you get people to concede, or at least admit or acknowledge that there is a problem? I was listening to a radio station a couple of weeks ago and they were talking about the Senate race in Texas.

They said that one of the candidates made

the mistake of mentioning something about race. This commentator said, "The people of our state don't want to talk about that because they don't believe there is a race or civil rights problem. They don't want anybody mentioning anything."

Then they said, "We don't believe there's a class problem or a race problem or any kind of a problem. No gender problem or any kind of a problem like that in our state. If you mention that, you are going to lose." Well, I was -- and when I listened to that, I thought don't they remember that guy, James Byrd, that got dragged behind that truck and killed or was I in a different --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: So I'm just wondering is it necessary to get people to acknowledge there is an issue before one can deal with it or, in the alternative, would it be better to say that we're not going to talk about that there's an issue. We're just going to say to people, you know, why can't we all get along. Then hope everybody gets along and not say what it is that we're trying to get along about. Maybe that will make people feel better. Does that work?

MS. MADDEN: It works but it's difficult.

I think most white people that I know are in a state

of denial about racism. Many of them think that these problems were solved back in the '60s. "Weren't they? We don't have problems now." It's not really until you can entice -- the hardest group to get is white males -- entice them to join a dialogue where they sit still for six weeks, two hours at a time, and focus on institutional racism that their eyes begin to open.

I would like to tell you about one exchange. Mike Morris, who facilities some of these dialogues with me, went through two or three of them. He's a former police officer who now works for the sheriff's office. An African-American man. He also serves on our SAC.

Anyway, he said that he had suffered from racism for years and years and years. I guess Mike is probably in his early 50s but he never knew what it was. He just thought this is just the way things are, but it was causing him all kinds of problems in his personal life and his employment, everywhere in the community. He was often stopped for DWB, driving while black.

All these things were going on but he didn't really know that it was racism. He just knew that it was the way things are. It really wasn't until he came into these dialogue groups that he began

d

to identify what was going on and identify it for other people as well.

There was another man in the group, a white man of Hispanic background, who is a doctor. Intellectually he understood all about institutional racism, but he had never really had an opportunity to sit down for hours at a time and talk with others of another race about race. He said it really opened his eyes. It wasn't just an intellectual thing anymore. It was an emotional thing.

Also, one thing that Mike said in addition to the fact that he had this undiagnosed problem, was that he was a police officer and he had a loaded gun on his hip. That's a pretty explosive situation.

Unlike the Marines, I'm looking for a few good men and good women, but men I think are the hardest sell to bring into this. What we're doing right now is because we are expanding our dialogues on race, is having ambassadors.

That would be like this one guy who identifies himself as a rich white guy. He's in the automotive industry. Can he persuade two or three of his colleagues in the automotive industry to take part in the next one because we are really now shooting for leaders, community leaders.

d

We'll have a mix of other folks, ordinary people like myself, but we want to get the CEO of the We want to get the police chief. We want hospital. to get people who are really in a position of power to take part in these dialogues because that's going to open some eyes and I think make some changes. that it's pretty effective. DR. WELLS: that, too. in many cases of fear customers, not stirring up things.

It won't be overnight, of course. We can't give you a checklist, as I said, of how to dismantle institutional racism, but we can do some things. It's not the only game in town but I do think I would like to comment on I think people like businessmen and the rich white man and so forth and so on with businesses losing money, losing That can be true on both sides. All races can be afraid of that. I find that recently there has been a lot of multi-cultural diversity training. We are doing

some of that even in the State of Alabama where we are over the airwaves doing workshops and seminars and other presentations on diversity and multi-cultural education.

is to the problem. Education one key People do not know their rights and if they hear them,

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

then they will know them and they can individually, or as a group, demand their rights.

DR. MITCHELL: I'm right in the middle of this very important question right now at our university. Those of you who might have known about — might have read the blackface and Klan pictures on the web from some of our fraternities. I'm right in the middle of doing this.

What's interesting is that if you have an environment in which people think this is okay, even though it may not be accepted by the group and they do this, that means there's a sensitivity part so history and sensitivity are both involved.

Now, these are white male fraternities, the future corporate CEOs of the world. University, Oklahoma State **CEOs** from number of fraternity boys -- fraternity men -- sorry about that -- who were active in the fraternity, president of a corporation, Deboone Pickens. These are the large They came through corporate executives of the world. this fraternity system. If you check you'll find they were all fraternity boys.

Now, this is getting to the essence of institutional racism. These young men, thoroughly embarrassed by what three members did, never thought

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there would be sanctions so high from the community as a whole, from the university, across the country, their alumni, because some people think that this is behind us.

They think it doesn't exist. These young men have no understanding whatsoever about what the Klan means. They know it's something that happened. They know the history. They know what happened but they don't know the meaning of it.

One of the things that has been initiated, and I'm hoping this can give some systemic change to the whole institution, they have faculty jumping at the bit to teach diversity courses to add more diversity, their special education for the student.

The young men that I work with starting Monday, we will go every hour on Monday for the rest of the semester and then start next semester on some really serious diversity training as to why these things are unacceptable. The first thing we're doing is they are going to look at 100 years of lynching by Ginsburg and there are some pictures on the web. We're going to do some web things to know exactly what this — to hit this issue specifically.

Then there are other issues of diversity that these young men don't get. One of the great

d

pieces of writing that is on the web you can get is from Professor Jensen at UT Austin. It's on the web and you can find it. He has a paper that he first wrote in the Baltimore Sun called "white Privilege." This speaks to White America about what white privilege really is.

For example, I can be sure when I walk into a room there will be more people like me than someone else. These are the kinds of things that make systemic, but it's long-range plans. We have two things we have to deal with. One is deal with the symptoms and deal with the causes. The symptoms are the things that happen immediately. The Commission responds very quickly to issues but the long-range causes are protected by the kinds of studies that one does with the Commission.

For example, I'm still using one of the long-term studies on Black farmers that the Commission did years ago. That's one of the best academic pieces that you can use for any kind of class in agriculture which I've used in some of the classes that I've been asked to teach for ag students.

These are the ways which one makes change but it's a long-range plan. We could not have done this 15 years ago. Fifteen years ago at my university

d

we had the same problem, blackface. Community Relation Services came in, which they do, the Justice Department. They are back with us again. They do their job well but that's a temporary fix. They came in 15 years ago and reestablished what you call multicultural action teams of students getting together. They brought in a few speakers.

Wilson brought in Reginald from They American Council on Education. He gave a great talk to an audience of about 35 people. Those are the You are treating symptoms but the long-range things. Some of the things the Commission can do in causes. the studies, doing some in depth studies and having them read the publications can have a really longlasting impact.

Racism is real. It's alive and well.

It's much more subtle but it's there. These white young mean are getting a lesson of what happens when certain behaviors are not acceptable.

My wife ran for office in Oklahoma. She was the elected official and won twice. She ran for county commissioner. This is the essence of it, we don't have a Black constituency and she managed to win. We had Klan signs appear on her big signs. The mayor, the city commission, the DA all gave very

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 Those signs disappeared. I'm going to recognize 3 CHAIRPERSON BERRY: the Vice Chair, but I would be remiss if I did not say 4 that some of the discussion about that there is no 5 racism comes from people of color. There are some 6 7 very highly visible African-American folks who you can see appearing on various kinds of media and public 8 9 It's not just white men saying there's no places. I just wanted to make that clear. You have 10 11 people of color including Latinos. There was a former chair 12 DR. MITCHELL: who stood up in a SAC meeting and told us that white 13 males were the protected group. 14 Chair of this CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 15 Commission. 16 DR. MITCHELL: Yes. 17 Thank you for your 18 VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: have a concern. I'm expression of opinions. Ι 19 encourage by Dr. Mitchell's and Ms. Madden's comments 20 and optimism, but I must tell you that I teach a 21 seminar on civil rights and I've thought about these 22 23 matters a great deal. I have concluded that talk doesn't help 24

strong statements publicly about how that was uncivil.

That it's experience. It's the experience that

much.

25

people have. When people are forced into an experience like the civil rights movement of the '60s, then they do rethink their ideas and their feelings and their philosophy.

The two of you have indicated that in your experience some talk does help, i.e., talk with young people or in depth talk. Long ago I decided not to accept invitations to "debates" on Proposition 209 and other civil rights issues that have come up in California because I found that everybody who attended already had strong feelings.

I was wasting my time. It was not an experience, it was just talk. I am encouraged that under some circumstances it's your experience that talk can help if it's structured right so that the talk that those folks have in your programs become an experience, not just talk. I have to conclude that's vou found otherwise. Αt least. I've convinced that talk alone doesn't help because folks don't change their opinions based on talk.

In that regard, I would like to ask not only the two of you but the others on the panel how do .

we as a Commission go about educating the American public that there is such a thing as institutional racism? Some of you have used that terminology. Some

1

2

3

4

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of these Black public speakers and intellectuals that don't think there are problems say there is no such thing as institutional racism. Many Anglo-Americans believe there's no institutional racism.

Any issue that comes up they will describe not as a race or ethnic issue but as an education issue, as a driving issue, as a police issue, as an environmental issue, not a race issue. That is, they don't accept the notion that we ought to look at effect. They say racism -- many of these people are good people.

That is, they don't deny that there's racism and they believe it's bad, but they will only believe that there's actual racism when somebody says, "I'm not hiring you because you're a woman." Or, "I'm not giving you a job because you're black." Or, "I'm arresting you because you're Latino."

That doesn't happen very often but they refuse to look at statistics that Latinos or Blacks are disproportionately in prison; that Indian Americans have lower educational attainment, etc., etc.

What's the technique or what do you suggest out of your own experiences ought to be our approach in terms of, I think, not convincing

d

ourselves or, I must say, convincing some of the members of this Commission, but certainly convincing the American public that that is something we ought to look at in our society.

MS. MADDEN: It is certainly a very, very difficult problem. I would like to make a couple of comments. I do think that talk is action. It can be action. Sometimes it's just talk but when you think of the leaders of our country, the leaders of big business, the leaders of any institution, they don't really use their hands and work gloves to do the work that they do. They are usually sitting around a table talking. So talk is action.

Another thing is we don't enter into debate at all. In fact, one of the pieces of our dialogues on race is a whole page describing the difference between dialogue and debate.

Debate assumes that one of us has the answer and whoever wins is going to prevail. Whereas dialogue means that you may have part of the answer and you may have part of the answer and I may have part of it. If we sit down and talk about it openly together, then we might arrive at the truth because all of us might have a piece of it. That's another thing.

I don't have the solution for reaching great masses of people but I do think our new approach of trying to reach some of the leaders to catch one and ask that one to become an ambassador to others so that at least they will sit down and participate in a discussion, a dialogue, but not a debate. If they will do that, I think a lot of eyes will be opened.

One of the most impressive examples to me of racism was during the last mayor's race. This is the same mayor who just fired the personnel director for issuing that report. I got invited to go before a meeting with this mayoral candidate and there were seven candidates. I had been meeting with all of them because I had a great concern and the YWCA had a great concern about community policing and we wanted to talk to them all about that.

I was invited to this meeting with Bobby Simpson and I thought it was probably was going to be three or four people. I got up onto one of the top floors of one of the skyscrapers in Baton Rouge and realized that it was in the boardroom of one of the major law firms.

I was the only woman in the room. I was there with Mike Morris. He was the only black in the room. All the rest of them were white guys and I

ଶ

think there was another white woman. One of the lawyers there, the son of the governor and so forth, when I saw that I realized what am I doing here on the one hand, but also I know who is going to be the next mayor because these are really the power people in Baton Rouge and that was real clear to me.

You have to have your eyes open and mine were not open at one time. I think some of it is going to be little by little. That is one approach but there has to be some other approaches, too. Certainly those in depth reports that the Commission puts out are very useful tools. We may need to do lots of things on lots of different fronts.

DR. WELLS: Yes, I had a comment. The accrediting bodies for institutions of higher learning has a model that I think can go a long way to educate people and sensitize people.

We are in our self study right now and the accrediting team is requiring us to do more about placing our students, those who have to go out and do internships or work in industry and so forth, co-op programs, to place them in areas and with people that they have not had any experience with. Now, I teach at a historically Black institution and we have what I call a laboratory.

Whenever I make speeches or do workshops in the area of diversity, I say that Alabama University is really a laboratory for people getting to know each other in addition to what is required by the accreditation team. I think that is a great model.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Yes.

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: I think you're going to have to stop or society is going to have to stop accepting the water-downed language for racism and discrimination. All too often we allow those comfort words to be used as opposed to accepting what it really is.

The dialogues and debates that -- I, too, do not accept anymore invitations to come because often times the people whom they are asking are handpicked. They are people who are going to use that soft language without confronting them. I'm not saying it has to be a hostile confrontation but controversy sometimes is going to be necessary in order to get the discussions refocused on the real issues.

An example of that, in Nebraska, for instance, we recently had to defend our affirmative action plan. As a lawyer I went to the Black lawyers

and asked for their support. I'm right in the middle of chemotherapy so it was very draining on me to do I went for support and I was told by the Black this. law group, "We have to go take a vote to see if we can make a public statement." They came back and said, "Some of us do feel comfortable because we're not very well not Some of us do not want to do it versed in that area. because it will alienate our client base." said, "Well, it may present a conflict of interest with our employers."

Bear in mind these are the same lawyers who are on our minority justice task force to look at racism from the legal jurisdictions. I think in order for us to get the attention of institutionalize racism out in the public, we must use those words. quick accepting pluralism or diversity and we must use the words that I think will make a greater impact.

During the month of October you are going to constantly be confronted with information on breast If we are serious about getting rid cancer awareness. of discrimination and racism in this country, we must make it a 365-day-a-year commitment and use those terms.

> You have pointed to CHAIRPERSON BERRY:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

something which I have puzzled over greatly about the lawyers and what you said about lawyers because one of the things that everyone wanted to do in the civil rights movement and in the women's rights movement, and wanted to do with the disability rights movement and all the rights movements, is to make the day come when there is such equality of opportunity that people can do whatever they wish to do and go as far as their potential will take them.

We all wanted that. That's the goal and all of us who, you know, the folks that marched and folks that died and all the folks the everything they did in all of those movements did it That was supposed to be success, for that reason. right?

Now I go out and when I'm speaking to audiences they say to me, "How do you feel that the civil rights movements relates to these lawyers?" ones that you were talking about, people like that who don't want to take a stand.

"How do you think all that work in the civil rights movement or the women's rights movement and now you've got these people talking about there is no discrimination based on sex and none on race and they don't want to hear about it, how do you feel

1

2

3

4

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

about these folks?

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whether they are on the courts. the Supreme Court of the United States, or whether they the local courts or whether thev don't you feel like the civil rights politics. movement was a failure because you've got people like I puzzle over what to say when people ask me that?" I finally determined that all I could say was that we worked to make it possible for them to be critical of the movement and for them to reject us.

That all the work was done so that they could someday decide that they didn't want to have anything to do with the next step or with helping anybody else to get to where they are and that they wanted to repudiate or denounce all of us.

That was the price we paid for them being able to step out in society and hopefully do what they want to do even though we know that they can't do what they want to do yet because, you know, eventually somebody is going to run their head up against a wall and, they will need to have some help.

But I don't know. Do you think that -how do we account for people? What do we do about the
folks like the lawyers who don't want to pull their
weight or the other people who helped to reinforce the

the

of

idea that there is no racism, no sexism, no whatever? Should that be a concern or should we just go ahead a pull the wagon and not worry about them? DR. MITCHELL: Can I respond to his question first? CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Sure. DR. MITCHELL: Okay. I think Commission is fighting racism in terms of what you do. It's very difficult to try to map a plan to fight racism per se because discrimination is usually based upon racism. Racism is so systemic and endemic to the culture. parts whack away at As we discrimination part, and I'm a real believer in the Commission in terms of the great publications you put out because I think those have had a greater impact than any of you would think. Maybe you do know, but it had a great impact on how we change this country.

Things have changed and the difficulty of That question was the question that you just raised. asked of me last week and my answer was that I'm a believer in the first amendment, that everyone has a right to be stupid.

The community standards is what determines how it is accepted so we have to continue the work on

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what the community standards are. Those who say there are no civil rights are dealing with that with some of these young kids, too, so you have to deal with the community standards and what is acceptable.

What's different in terms of working with these young kids now is that they don't know -- they really didn't know it was wrong but those pictures that were in the paper and note their names associated with it. They know that will follow them the rest of their life. Some will always have those pictures.

One was a top-ten freshman. The question raised there about how you can be a top-ten freshman and behave in that behavior. That whole system of selecting students needs taking a look at. It has a mushroom effect. I think the community standards in terms of what society accepts is where we really should be working in terms of systemic change. The Commission is doing it now.

I would hate for you to try to think of ways in which you can top that because I think your charge and your charter does not specifically deal with racism but you deal with it indirectly. I think you're really doing it.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: I have a specific question for Ms. Stennis-Williams. You mentioned that

1.7

in race neutral efforts your state at are problems and that has created for standards affirmative action, for race relations. My question to you is the following. On

what basis is that race neutral standard raised in your state in light of the fact that you're not within the 5th circuit, you're not California, you're not Washington. Where there are legal constraints, is it simply politically and sociologically?

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: I think it's both.

It's basically in the area of education and housing.

I'll use the term poverty basically.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: But why the connection with poverty when the U.S. Supreme Court thus far has said that you can deal with race and the federal legislation and often times state legislation says you can deal with race. Why now in your state are folk talking about race neutral criteria like poverty rather than race or ethnicity if race and ethnicity, indeed, form part of the problem?

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: You have to keep in mind we're talking about Nebraska here.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: I know. That's precisely why I'm asking you about it because there seems to be no legal or constitutional constraints

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there to speak about race or ethnicity, yet they are doing it. It seems to me that it's got to be a political or sociological decision to do it, not a legal decision.

It's both. MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: You have a -- from the term of education you have venues whereby the school board was able to craft a bond issue that resulted in resegregation of schools. The basis behind that was that these schools that are in low income areas need to be refurbished so we're going to pass this bond issue, multi-million bond issue that's going to create these neighborhood schools while the underlying principle which was really the segregation motivation Ι was to end mean, desegregation of schools.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Sure.

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: So people bought into that by saying that these schools and these impoverished areas are now going to be refurbished. What they did not read, though, was the fine print that said we are going to go back to neighborhood schools. As a result you have schools that are 95 or 98 percent African-American.

I filed a complaint with the Civil Rights
Division, Department of Education. They did an

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

investigation and they were really going gung-ho with You have political intervention that resulted in was the the -- Wendall Taylor, who investigator assigned to the case that was gung-ho, pulling back saying we're not going to hold any more public meetings. We have a very vocal senator in the chambers and he came to the first public meeting and basically just gave them a lot of information district lying showed where our school was and board able deceptive. The school was to get politicians to intervene to basically make them pull back. Another area which is being --VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Excuse me. Was that during the Clinton Administration? STENNIS-WILLIAMS: No, it MS. was recently. VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Oh, recent? Because the Democratic things happened even under those Republican Administration, not just the Administration. MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: We had the support of a Democrat and Republican Senator that were part of the intervening process. The other areas that help

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	where you have opposition to low-income housing common
2	to an area of multi low-income housing. Actually if
3	you were just to insert the words, "We don't want
4	Black people, Hispanics," or whatever, you would have
5	people who would be very upset so they are using that
6	race neutral language as a basis to avoid.
7	DR. MITCHELL: In Oklahoma we have the
8	same situation where "race-based scholarships" are
9	terminated by the university.
10	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: What?
11	DR. MITCHELL: Race-based scholarships.
12	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Are being terminated?
13	DR. MITCHELL: Terminated.
14	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: On what basis?
15	DR. MITCHELL: Political. I'm getting to
16	the political part.
17	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: In California
18	DR. MITCHELL: That is political.
19	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: In California we have
20	our problems because of 209, but then that other
21	states are voluntarily doing that
22	DR. MITCHELL: I'll tell you why. We're
23	next to Texas.
24	VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Beg your pardon?
25	DR. MITCHELL: We're next to Texas and the

뷔	Hopwood case has an impact on some people in our
2	state. This is a preventative measure. I've argued
3	with our legal counsel about this. There is no legal
4	reason to do this by any court order in Oklahoma in
5	that district at all. None whatsoever.
6	. VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Yet your legal
7	counsel has recommended you do that?
8	DR. MITCHELL: They followed through
9	because the state regents who control all our purses
10	have decided not to do it anymore.
11	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Wait a minute. Is
12	that black woman still on the Board of Regents who was
13	on there for years?
14	DR. MITCHELL: No, she's not.
15	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: What was her name?
16	You know who I'm talking about?
17	DR. MITCHELL: Ruby yeah, I know. It
18	will come to me. Senior moments.
19	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Are there any Black or
20	Hispanic people on your regents?
21	DR. MITCHELL: On the State Board of
22	Regents, no.
23	CHAIRPERSON BERRY: State Board of
24	Regents.
25	DR. MITCHELL: No. That's a major

problem.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: So these are local political decisions based, as Ms. Stennis-Williams says, on racism.

DR. MITCHELL: Precisely. I would make that argument. Then Texas and California and Georgia all accept race-based money from the federal government because I run a program in Oklahoma called Louis Stokes Aid Program.

California and Texas have three programs. They accept the money because if you don't accept federal dollars you may lose the rest of the money so they still take federal money but they have their own money they say you can't do it with. That's the irony of it.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Thank you very much.

It's certainly not legal. It's local, political decisions apparently being made by folk who have long been racist who have sort of toned down their language and their activities for many years while they viewed that the country was in favor of racial equality.

Now that they feel that the leadership of this country is not in favor of racial equality, they are now -- their voices have been raised and their political votes are now being cast in a way in which

they have longed believed apparently. Is that what we are to conclude?

DR. MITCHELL: Absolutely. Because of our leadership at the Congressional level it just exacerbates the problems.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Thank you very much.

DR. KATHERINE MITCHELL: I think that another thing that has happened is we don't have those community-based organizations that constantly put the issues before the people existing anymore. I know in my State of Arkansas the only one we have left is the NAACP. The problem with that in my state is that it has such poor leadership that no one really wants to follow it.

against Many of the issues now are African-Americans themselves. The head of the organizations speaks out negatively about an African-American politician who is really doing good things for the people. It's that kind of thing that exist.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: The point being that if all the African-American lawyers who maybe now some of them work for downtown firms or in-house counseling and so on, would indeed speak out, they could do it even with greater force perhaps now than they would have had 10 or 20 years ago but now they are unwilling

1

2

3

4

5

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to do that.

Yeah, I can't help but sense that you're right. Many of the community groups have not made their voices heard and so in some ways we can't really blame the politicians themselves or the Board of Regents and so on because those community groups aren't knocking on their doors saying, "Wait a minute. This deals with racism. It deals with the ill-effect on folk of color and linguistic minorities in this country."

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: We share some of the blame because when they had this dialogue when the Board of Regents and all the other people who want to have these meetings, they hand picked people who they know are going to say what they really want to hear.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Sure. They can't pick community groups so if the community groups speak for themselves, then these "spokespersons" aren't being picked by the regents or by the politicians.

MS. STENNIS-WILLIAMS: That's why what you're doing is so important. Often times you are convening publicly and the SAC convening publicly are the only voices and opportunities these people have to be heard.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: That's why our budget

has been cut.

DR. WELLS: I was a member of a group once that spoke about the times when Dr. Martin Luther King was alive and visiting various places trying to get support for his movement. This gentleman indicated that when he came to Huntsville, for example, he was sort of disappointed and that he made the statement that he would never come to Huntsville again.

I think it was based on a lot of the people in that neck of the woods are doing well, or much better than they had in the past. I think what I have observed over the years that when an individual has a problem, they will file without support a lot of ties from NAACP or from the other groups that are in place. It's almost an individual thing.

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: They just see themselves as floating out there autonomously by themselves.

DR. WELLS: Yes.

DR. MADDEN: I would like to add that when we held our fact-finding hearing on environmental justice in Lake Charles about a year ago that many of the consumers who came either to speak or to listen in had felt that they were not being listened to at all until our SAC came and held this meeting. They were

so grateful to us just for listening.

This was an issue of race because they felt that they were being unfairly treated because of race but nobody cared. Nobody was speaking for them until we came. I think they were unduly grateful and maybe they expected us to do much more than we could do which really is just to raise the issues in the public mind and bring it to your attention.

VICE CHAIR REYNOSO: Madam Chair, I just want to comment that the environmental justice report that your advisory committee issued I considered one of the most important pieces in the evolution of environmental justice that we have seen.

I should also tell you that, as you may recall, it was keenly debated in the Commission with several commissioners voting against accepting it even though legally we don't vote to accept it. They vote so strongly. They said this is an environmental issue, not a race issue. That has to do with the issue of institutional racism. That's why I asked you that.

I'm also encouraged that you have done a follow-up on that. I was most impressed by the quote that you used in that report that one of the witnesses who said basically, "I am being asked to deny what my

saw

Assistant

her

eyes can see." I have used that phrase quite often because it was there before you, and yet all officials and others were denying it and she said, "I am being asked to deny what my eyes can see." All right. We want to CHAIRPERSON BERRY: This has been very informative. thank you very much. Again, thank you for all the work you're doing and let's continue to work together. Now we are going to have -- Senator Harden isn't going to make it over here. We yesterday as we participated in the SAC meeting. Carlton Reeves, Esquire, a former U.S. Attorney General, and who is now in private practice and is a member of the SAC is going to come forward and speak briefly about the SAC activities and about Mississippi. Good morning to you. MR. REEVES: Good morning. STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Madam Chair, may, maybe Mr. Reeves can introduce some of the other SAC members in the audience. MR. REEVES: Right. I'm going to. of all, thank you for allowing us to come to speak We do have our SAC members here with you today.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

from

Pearl,

Mississippi;

First

Sue

today:

Jarvis

Ward

2

3

4

5

ଶ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sautermeister right down the street in Ridgeland; Willie Foster, the vice chair of SAC. He's bee on the SAC, I think, since --

CHAIRPERSON BERRY: 1991.

MR. REEVES: Mr. Foster is from Hattisburg, Mississippi. Elizabeth Powers from Greenwood, Mississippi.

Over the last several months our SAC has been meeting with the assistance of Farella Robinson.

I heard the talk of having a person from the regional office attend your meetings and she has been so gracious to come to Jackson periodically to meet with us as we considered several things that we would like to see the State of Mississippi doing in, if you will, our pet project.

One of the things that we thought about that was a follow-up to prior SACs was to sort of see if we could recommend to the State of Mississippi to make the State of Mississippi a little different from a couple of other states like Arkansas that doesn't have a Human Rights Commission with teeth and some others. We are actually behind everybody. We don't have anything with or without teeth.

Part of our efforts over the last couple of days was to have different groups' representatives

6

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

come forward to talk about can Mississippi afford not to have a commission. Can Mississippi afford to have a commission.

We have heard from people like the governor of Mississippi, the State Conference of the NAACP, Mr. Eugene Bryant. We talked with members of the Coalition of Citizens with Disabilities and from Jackson State, professors, Mary Coleman and others, representatives from the Attorney General's Office, the AARP, and others.

We learned a lot over the last couple of days. We learned a lot. For example, the EEOC here has an office that basically has the responsibility of conducting investigations for the entire State of Mississippi. There is only one office and that office has 28 employees.

I assume that we did not get the breakdown of the numbers of employees who are investigators or what not but what we did learn is that there are two attorney slots within the U.S. EEOC that are vacant. There are no attorneys in the State of Mississippi employed by the EEOC.

That becomes extremely problematic with regard to investigating and certainly prosecuting any type of employment discrimination cases. That's a

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

problem. Of course, we all know that there's 180 days for which the EEOC can go out and investigate and then issue charge letters because that's what we find in our practice.

After 180 days whether the case has been investigated or not persons can simply call up and say, "May I have my right to sue letter?" Without any investigation and it is issued. We think that there needs to be, at least on some level, some state counterpart.

Now, as we went into our meetings over the last couple of days, I think the general consensus among the SAC was to sort of envision a Human Rights Commission that deals with things such as Title VII, that might deal with fair housing and public accommodation things.

We did hear other distressful, in my mind, testimony from Eugene Bryant, for example, of State Conference of the NAACP, who talked about the policy in public zero tolerance that we have The three strikes and you're out policy, education. and the inequities on how those policies are playing a role on Black males in particular through our public education.

We did hear some things which will be

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

d

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

enlightening because there is a record of what we did. For example, I think the governor is on record of saying that he would consider issuing an executive order. That remains to be seen because we did talk about the political consequences of even trying to advocate for such an institution here in Mississippi.

West who is the chairman of the Legislative Black Caucus. I was moved by some of the things that Mr. West said and he really spoke from his heart and from his gut with regard to the political quagmire that any type of these issues coming forward and doing things in light of the political realities in this state.

All in all, though, I think it was summed up best in Mary Coleman's testimony, the Professor from Jackson State, who said basically if you have the will to do what you have to do, then you can get it done. She talked about her experiences of having seen commissions on a national and international basis. When people have the courage to do the right thing they will.

I suspect that Mississippians do have the courage. We just are going to have to put pressure on them to make sure that they bring forth and do the right thing.

ଶ

Finally, I would say this. The mayor of the City of Jackson with regard to the fair housing component. The City of Jackson apparently has been trying to implement some fair housing ordinance. The fact of the matter is that they have been advised that because there is no enabling legislation which allows them to enact such an ordinance, then no ordinance can be enacted.

Certainly, I think, the easiest thing for the state to do is to allow for some enabling legislation to allow progressive citizens in this community and in this state and there are some. Allow them to do the right thing.

Certainly our objective in looking at all this, again we were looking at it from a fair-housing, public-accommodation, Title VII perspective, but we know in Mississippi there are other problems and that any type of Human Relations Commission or Civil Rights Commission here in the state will be inundated with numerous complaints about numerous things because at this point there is no one other than the federal government and the federal government is overburdened with it in the state. There is no one else for them to turn to.

The U.S. Attorney's Office through

Assistant U.S. Attorney Mrs. Dee Page spoke in detail about the number of housing discrimination lawsuits that office has prosecuted but that's only one office in the southern district of Mississippi. They cannot The State of Mississippi is going to have do it all. to take the lead in doing some things for its 2.8 million citizens that Governor Mutsgrove represents. CHAIRPERSON BERRY: You had two of your

SAC members come back.

Just one of my SAC members MR. REEVES: has come back, Holmes, I think. I'm Ms. Elizabeth Powers from Greenwood.

Yes. Okav. Thank you CHAIRPERSON BERRY: As I said, we were there for giving us that report. yesterday and heard some of the testimony and did meet away this time I come with the governor. encouraged than I was the last time that now there will be some sort of human rights agency finally in Mississippi.

My own view was that if we could just get started on something, that we can effect it and work on it so we need to take some kind of step to get The work you are doing and the way something done. you put together that forum was terrific.

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

me

thank

of speakers and the way it was handled and all the rest of it that we ought to be able to get it done here. Then maybe if you can have one with teeth, that will set an example for Arkansas to try to move a little bit further ahead. Then finally we can get all the states with human rights agencies. Let you very much. If there are no other matters that we STAFF DIRECTOR JIN: Yes.

ought to consider, we will adjourn except, Director, if you want to announce where the reception will be.

Ι think everybody knows that there is a reception afterwards. The staff and the commissioners got the information in their packets. It's in the Crown Room but nobody got directions.

just give the directions. Let me It's pretty straightforward. Go back out to the registration desk. Go past the bar and right on the left-hand side before you get to the restaurant. It shouldn't be very hard to find and you can ask staff and they can lead you there.

> CHAIRPERSON BERRY: Thank you very much. (Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m. the meeting was

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

adjourned.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701